1			
2			
3			
4			
5	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT	
6	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA		
7			
8	CHRISTOPHER WILLIAM HARRIS,	CASE NO. 2:21-cv-01335-BHS-TLF	
9	Plaintiff, v.	ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION	
10	TULALIP POLICE DEPARTMENT, et		
11	al.,		
	Defendants.		
12			
13	This matter comes before the Court on the Report and Recommendation ("R&R")		
14	of the Honorable Theresa L. Fricke, United States Magistrate Judge, Dkt. 56. The Court		
15	having considered the R&R and the remaining record, and no objections having been		
16	filed, orders as follows:		
17	(1) The R&R is <b>ADOPTED</b> ;		
18	(2) Plaintiff's Motion for Amended	d Information and New Facts, Dkt. 51, is	
19	<b>GRANTED</b> in part; <sup>1</sup>		
20			
21   22	<sup>1</sup> Judge Fricke construed Plaintiff's motion as seeking voluntary dismissal of Tulalip Police Department and leave to file an amended complaint. Dkt. 56 at 1 n.1. Judge Fricke separately addressed Plaintiff's motion for leave to amend. Dkt. 55. This Court grants the remainder of Plaintiff's motion seeking voluntary dismissal of Tulalip Police Department.		

1	(3)	Defendants' Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings, Dkt. 16, is <b>DENIED as</b>	
2		moot;	
3	(4)	Plaintiff's Motion for Subpoena of Evidence from Tulalip Police	
4		Department, Dkt. 34, is <b>DENIED as moot</b> ;	
5	(5)	Plaintiff's Motion Request for Information from Tulalip Police Department,	
6		Dkt. 39, is <b>DENIED as moot</b> ;	
7	(6)	Plaintiff's Motion to Dismiss Sovereign Immunity, Dkt. 41, is <b>DENIED as</b>	
8		moot;	
9	(7)	Plaintiff's Motion for Extension of Time to Obtain Drone Video, Dkt. 49, is	
10		<b>DENIED as moot</b> ; and	
11	(8)	Tulalip Police Department is <b>DISMISSED with prejudice</b> .	
12	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
13	Dated this 8th day of June, 2022.		
14		L 1C	
15	<u> </u>		
16	BENJAMIN H. SETTLE United States District Judge		
17			
18			
19			
20			
$_{21} \parallel$			
-1			